3rd Annual Undergraduate Quantitative Biology Summer School



Module 1: Single-Cell Optical Microscopy Experiments and Image "Fluorescent Labeling Techniques used in single-cell Research" Lecturer: Eric Ron e-mail: eric.ron@colostate.edu



Central Dogma of Molecular Biology





Image modified from the Kahn Academy site (see supplementary material)

Fluorescence Microscopy

uq-bio

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging a. Methods for labeling proteins b. Fluorophores c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed cells a. Immunolabeling b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH)



Jablonski diagram, displaying the energy levels and possible routes of excitation & de-excitation of a fluorophore.

Common approaches for protein labeling

- 1. Dye molecules linked to the protein of interest
- 2. Genetically encoded fluorescent proteins

How does fluorescence occur?



Lavis et al. ACS Chem Biol. 2008 Mar 20;3(3):142-55.

Example of fluorescence **excitation** and **emission** spectra of a single fluorophore.

Critical properties of fluorescent labels

- 1. Location of the fluorophore
- 2. Brightness, blinking & photostability
- 3. Location of the protein of interest



Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of

(smFISH)

Conventionally, they





Advantages:

- 1. It is more specific.
- 2. Can access inner protein domains (e.g., posttranslational modifications).



Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging a. Methods for labeling proteins

- b. Fluorophores
- c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed cells

a. Immunolabeling b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization

situ Hybridizat (smFISH) Biotinylation is the covalently process of attaching biotin to a protein, nucleic acid, or other molecule. It has high affinity for its interaction partners avidin and streptavidin, which can be used to tag fluorescent proteins.





Immunofluorescent stain of U+03b1tubulin in HeLas. (Rb Anti-tubulin 1ry AB + biotinylated gt anti-rb IgG, and iFluor 555-Streptavidin conjugate.

Advantages:

- 1. Size: Extensive binding to biologically active macromolecules e.g., antibodies.
- 2. Specificity & binding strength between biotin and streptavidin $K_d \sim 10^{-14} mol/L$. (rapid, resistant to pH, T, organic solvents, and denaturing reagents).
- 3. Does not interfere with physiological processes enabling live cell imaging.



Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging a. Methods for labeling proteins

- b. Fluorophores
- c. Bioluminescence

3. LabelingTechniquesEmployed in Fixed cellsa. Immunolabelingb. Single-Molecule

Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH) Epitope Tags are useful when antibodies are not available. Those can be encoded into a plasmid vector attached to the protein of interest.



Examples:

- ✤ Flag-Tag (AA-Sequence: DYKDDDDK), ~1.0 kDa
- ✤ HA-Tag (AA-Sequence: YPYDVPDYA), ~1.1 kDa
- ✤ V5-Tag (AA-sequence: GKPIPNPLLGLDST) , ~1.4 kDa
- ✤ Myc-Tag (AA-Sequence: EQKLISEEDL), ~1.2 kDa

Advantages:

1. Live-cell imaging, e.g., translation processes in real time.

uq-bio

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging a. Methods for labeling proteins

- b. Fluorophores
- c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed cells

a. Immunolabeling b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH) Small molecules probes are recruited by a peptide or protein recognition sequence that is fused to the target protein.



Taken from Fernández-Suárez & Tang, 2008 **Examples:**

- Self or Direct Labeling: PolyAsp, HaloTag (33kDa), SNAPTag and CLIPTag (~20 kDa).
- Enzyme Mediated Labeling: SorTag & Qtag (~10 kDa), AB, & LAP

Advantages:

- 1. Can be used in combination with or as an alternative to antibodies.
- 2. Live-cell imaging, e.g., translation processes in real time.
- 3. Binding to a fluorescent probe is rapid and irreversible.
- 4. Enzyme mediated tags are smaller than self-tags, but require an enzyme to mediate labeling.





Fluorophores

uq-bio

Emission

600

Quantum Dot Spectral Profiles

525

565

605

655

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging

a. Methods for labeling proteins

b. Fluorophores

c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed cells

a. Immunolabeling b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH) Quantum dots are inorganic semiconductor nanocrystals, typically composed of a cadmium selenide core and a zinc sulphide shell, coated with a layer to improve solubility. Its size ranges 10-15 nm.

Advantages

- Long-term photostability.
- High fluorescent intensity levels.
- Multiple colors with single-wavelength excitation for all emission profiles emission.
- Minor groove binding dyes bind tightly to DNA in the minor groove region. There are about 50 molecules that bind DNA, but they also bind RNA. The only ones that have low affinity for RNA are DAPI and Hoechst. Thus, these are commonly used as a nuclear stain.



Quantum Dot Anatomy

Zinc

Polvmer

Biological Conjugate

Figure 9

Hydrophilic Exterior

admium

Selenide

Core

100

80

60

40

20 - Absorption



500

Fluorescent Proteins (GFP)

uq-bio

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging

a. Methods for labeling proteins

b. Fluorophores

3. Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed

a. Immunolabeling b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH) Fluorescent proteins are found naturally, are very small, specific, and can be genetically encoded into the protein of interest. Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP), was the 1st fluorescent protein to be reported, its molecular weight is 28 kDa (~4 nm).

Advantages

- Investigation of Intracellular process in living organisms.
- Visualize, monitor and track individual molecules with high spatiotemporal resolution.
- Respond to a wider variety of biological events and signals.
- Extremely low phototoxicity.

What made them glow?

Jellyfish Aequorea Victoria Osamu Shimomura



1960: Green protein was purified from Jellyfish by Shimomura in Japan

1992: Douglas Prasher reported the cloning and nucleotide sequence for *wt*-GFP in gene



 Martin Chalfie
 Martin Chalfie
 Description of the second s



1994-2016: Roger Tsien mainly contributed to much of our understanding of GFP works and for developing new techniques and mutants of GFP

Fluorescent Proteins (GFP)

uq-bîo

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging

a. Methods for labeling proteins

- b. Fluorophores
- c. Bioluminescence
- **3.** Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed cells
- a. Immunolabeling
- b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH)



Movie of the GFP structure created by Erik A. Rodriguez with UCSF chimera in memory of Roger Tsien

Most common applications:

- Reporter assay (GFP as a reporter gene)
- Fluorescence microcopy (Protein folding, protein transport, RNA dynamics, among others)



The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2008



Photo: U. Montan Photo: U. Montan Osamu Shimomura Martin Chalfie Prize share: 1/3 Prize share: 1/3

Discovery, expression and development of GFP

Prize share: 1/3



Bioluminescence

uq-bio

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging

a. Methods for labeling proteins

- b. Fluorophores
- c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed cells

a. Immunolabeling b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In

situ Hybridizatior (smFISH) Bioluminescence is the production and emission of light by a living organism on its own. It uses energy from adenosine triphosphate (ATP) but it does not require light.



The principal chemical reaction in bioluminescence involves a light-emitting molecule and an enzyme, called luciferin and luciferase, respectively.



Several applications, the most common ones:

- Fluc and Rluc bioluminescence is their use as reporter genes for the study of gene expression in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells and systems.
- Sensors of pH, metal ions, ROS, enzymes, drug molecules, among others.
- Protein-Protein interaction.
- In vivo imaging.

Cell Culture

uq-bio

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging a. Methods for labeling proteins b. Fluorophores c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling
Techniques
Employed in Fixed
cells
a. Immunolabeling
b. Single-Molecule
Fluorescence In
situ Hybridization

(smFISH)

a. Cell Culture is the process of growing and maintaining cells in a controlled environment outside of their natural organism. Maintaining healthy cells, from immortal HeLa cells to delicate neurons, is crucial for studying disease mechanisms, developing new therapies, and advancing our understanding of single-cell processes



Fluorescent labeling techniques used in single-cell research

uq-bío

a. Immunolabeling is a biochemical method that allows the detection and localization of **Outline-Part 1:** an antigen in a cell, tissue or organ, the antigen is usually a protein, and the detection is 1. The Central performed using antibodies. Dogma of Immunolabeling Molecular Biology reparation Sample Blocking — Antibody Incubation Fixation ----> Permeabilization ----> 2. Labeling (Serum) (Detergents: Triton x100) Cultured cells Proteins for Single-Indirect Direct Molecule Imaging Chemical **Precipitants** Detection Detection a. Methods for Crosslinkers (Methanol labeling proteins (PFA) or acetone) b. Fluorophores unlabeled 1ry AB Labeled 1ry AB Can we detect more than one antigen at 3. Labeling Labeled 2ry AB a time using this approach? Techniques **Employed in Fixed** ANTIGEN 1 ANTIGEN 2 ANTIGEN 3 Nuclear counterstaining cells a. Immunolabeling (DAPI, Hoechst) 2° ANTIBODY Goat α-Mouse IgG Goat α-Rabbit IgG Goat α-Donkey IgG b. Single-Molecule Absorbed against Absorbed against Absorbed against sheep, rabbit, sheep, mouse, sheep, mouse. Fluorescence In and donkey and donkey and rabbit situ Hybridization Mounting (smFISH) 1° ANTIBODY Rabbit Anti-Y Mouse Anti-X Donkey Anti-Z Fluorescence Antigen X Antigen Y Antigen 2 Microscopy Sample: Sheep Taken from Immunocytochemistry Handbook by Novus Biologicals

Fluorescent labeling techniques used in <u>single-cell</u> research

Outline-Part 1:

 The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology
 Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging a. Methods for labeling proteins b. Fluorophores c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed cells a. Immunolabeling

b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH)

Example of a simple immunolabeling



Example of Parallel application of targeting methods & fluorophores

UQ-

Excitation (nm):	: 800 (2 photon)	488	432	568	637
Emission (nm):	410-490	500-530	555-565	580-620	>660
Fluorophore:	Hoechst	GFP	QD565	ReAsH	Cy5
Targeting:	direct affinity	genetic	immuno	genetic	immuno
Target:	DNA	a-tubulin	giantin	β-actin	Cytochrome c
Structure:	nuclei	microtubules	golgi	stress fibers	mitochondria
Taken from Giepmans et al. Science Review					20 µm

15

uq-bío

Outline-Part 1: b. smiFISH: i for "Inexpensive" quantification of endogenous mRNA

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging a. Methods for labeling proteins b. Fluorophores c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed cells

a. Immunolabeling b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH)



16

Labeling Techniques used in single-molecule research

uq-bio

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging a. Methods for labeling proteins

- b. Fluorophores
- c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed cells

a. Immunolabeling b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH) b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization "smFISH" (Femino, Singer, 1998) allows the quantification of endogenous transcription response:

- > **Number** of individual mRNA per cell,
- > **3D Location** of individual mRNA,
- > DNA transcription site activity,

smFISH probes 21 (20bp) probes/mRNA Dual Specificity Phosphatase 1 (DUSP1)





uq-bío

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging a. Methods for labeling proteins b. Fluorophores c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed cells

a. Immunolabeling b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH) b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization "smFISH" (Femino, Singer, 1998) allows the quantification of endogenous transcription response:

> **Number** of individual mRNA per cell,

- > **3D Location** of individual mRNA,
- > DNA transcription site activity,





uq-bío

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging a. Methods for labeling proteins b. Fluorophores c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed cells

a. Immunolabeling b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH) **b.** Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization "smFISH" (Femino, Singer, 1998) allows the quantification of endogenous transcription response:



- > Number of individual mRNA per cell,
- 3D Location of individual mRNA,
- > DNA transcription site activity,
- Fast (1-2 minute) time resolution,
- 100s or 1000s of cells per time point, or condition.



uq-bío

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging a. Methods for labeling proteins

b. Fluorophores

c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling Techniques Employed in Fixed cells

a. Immunolabeling b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH) b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization "smFISH" (Femino, Singer, 1998) allows the quantification of endogenous transcription response:

- > **Number** of individual mRNA per cell,
- > **3D Location** of individual mRNA,
- > DNA transcription site activity,
- ➤ Fast (1-2 minute) time resolution,
- 100s or 1000s of cells per time point, or condition.

smFISH yields highly reproducible & quantitative measurements of (noisy) single-cell responses





100nM Dex 90min





Types of smFISH based on probe design

Α smFISH 50 nt; 10 oligos RNA target 20 nt; 50 oligos -RNA target

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central

Molecular Biology

Proteins for Single-

a. Methods for

labeling proteins

b. Fluorophores

3. Labeling

Techniques

Fluorescence In

(smFISH)

cells

Dogma of

2. Labeling



- > Traditional **smFISH** directly targets RNA within multiple cell by using а oligonucleotides (10-50 per target).
- > Two-layer probes smFISH (like smiFISH), indirectly labels the target **RNA** by fluorescently label a secondary structure carried in the primary probe (24 oligos per target are ideal).
 - Multiplexing smFISH is generally used to scale up the number of RNA targets, and it requires a parallel on-chip probe synthesis as well encoding allow schemes to the identification of bound RNAs.



UQ

smFISH examples

UI

Outline-Part 1:

1. The Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

2. Labeling Proteins for Singlea. Methods for labeling proteins b. Fluorophores c. Bioluminescence

3. Labeling Techniques **Employed in Fixed** cells

a. Immunolabeling b. Single-Molecule Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (smFISH)

Examples of smFISH, it has been applied to many different RNA in many different organisms.



STI1 mRNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (budding -G. Neuert (VU)

veast)

Ysr35 sRNA in Yersinia Pseudotuberculosis (339nt) -D. Shepherd (LANL / CU Denver)

c-Fos mRNA (green) and p-p38 kinase (red) in U2OS cells -A. Senecal (CNRS)



merFISH detection of 160 different mRNA species in an IMR90 (human fetal lung) cell -Chen et al, 2015

Labeling Techniques in live cells at the single-molecule level



UQ



Visualizing transcription by genetically encoded probes

a.





Visualizing the transcription cycle at a single-copy gene

uq-bîo



Visualizing the transcription cycle at a single-copy gene

uq-bío



Visualizing translation by Nascent Tracking Chain (NCT)

uq-bío



Current methods for visualizing single-mRNA translation

uq-bío



NCT Applications



Outline-Part 2: 1. Labeling Techniques Employed in live cells: a. To visualize transcription b. To visualize translation-Nascent Tracking Chain Probes 2. Label-free Methods: a. Phase Imaging/diffraction tomography 3. Sources



Taken from Cialek et al. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development, 2020

Visualizing transcription by dCas9 labeling



Outline-Part 2: 1. Labeling Techniques Employed in live cells: a. To visualize transcription b. To visualize translation-Nascent Tracking Chain Probes 2. Label-free

Methods: **a.** Phase

tomography

3. Sources

dCas9 labeling reproposes the CRISPR/Cas9 system (Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2020) to bind and image RNA. The catalytically inactive Cas enzyme is fused to a fluorescent protein and binds target RNA in the presence of a guide RNA.



Label-free methods

uq-bío

Taken from Wikipedia

Outline-Part 2:

1. Labeling Techniques Employed in live cells:

a. To visualize transcription

 b. To visualize translation-Nascent Tracking Chair Probes
 2. Label-free

Methods: **a.** Quantitative Phase Imaging Quantitative phase imaging (QPI) is an emerging valuable tool to visualize cells and tissues without using fluorescent labels. QPI quantifies the phase shift that occurs when light waves pass through a more optically dense object by combining qualities found in microscopy, holography and light scattering techniques.

Some applications

Basic Science



RBC structure



Neuronal Network Activity



Bovine embryos over several days



3D imaging of a chimeric antigen receptor T cell killing a target cancer cell





Blood Screening & photodynamic anticancer activity

```
Park et al. Nat. Photonics Rev., 2018.
```

Lab Tour Demo



Outline-Part 2:

- **1.** Labeling Techniques Employed in live cells:
- a. To visualize transcription
- b. To visualize translation-Nascent Tracking Chai Probes
 2. Label-free Methods:
 a. Quantitative
- Phase Imaging
- **3**. Sources





Lab Tour Demo





37

Resources



Outline-Part 2: 1. Labeling Techniques Employed in live cells: a. To visualize transcription b. To visualize translation- Nascent Tracking Chain Probes 2. Label-free Methods: a. Quantitative Phase Imaging 3. Sources	 Sources: Gerd U. Nienhaus & Karin Nienhaus. Fluorescence Labeling. Fluorescence Microscopy, from Principles to Biological Applications. Editorial Wiley, edition 2013 & 2017, chapter 4. Dobrucki and Kubitscheck. Fluorescence Microscopy. From Principles to Biological Applications. Editorial Wiley, edition 2013 & 2017, chapter 3. Labeling Proteins for Single-Molecule Imaging by Photometrics. Lyon et al. Mol. Cell. 2019 Fernández-Suárez & Tang, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol., 2008. Ji X. et al. Bioluminescence imaging in mice with synthetic luciferin analogues. Methods Enzymol. 2020, Chapter 8. Syed and Anderson. <i>Chem. Soc. Rev.</i>, 2021, 50, 5668. Immunocytochemistry Handbook by Novus Biologicals. Giepmans et al. Science Review 2006. Neuert & Munsky Science 2013. Munsky PNAS 2018. Pichon et al. Mol Cell. 1998 Oct;2(4):437-45. Larson et al. Science. 2011 Apr 22;332(6028):475-8. Gemmill et al. <i>Biochemistry and Cell Biology</i>. 98(1): 31-41. Katjana Tantale et al., <i>Nat Commun</i> 7, 12248 (2016). Hayashi-Takanaka et al., Nucleic Acids Res. 2011 Aug;39(15):6475-88. Stasevich et al., Nature. 2014 Dec 11;516(7530):272-5. Forero-Quintero et al., <i>Nat Commun</i> 12, 3158 (2021). Pichon et al., Mol Cell. 2018 Aug 2;71(3):468-480. Ochiai et al., Nucleic Acids Res. 2015 Oct 30;43(19):e127. Sato et al., <i>Sci Rep</i> 3, 2436 (2013). 	 To learn more about the basis and techniques discussed in this lecture visit the following sites: https://www.khanacademy.org/science/in-in-class-12-biology-india/xc09ed98f7a9e671b:in-in-the-molecular-basis-of-inheritance https://www.labxchange.org/library/pathway/lx-pathway:ad7fbf7e-9fee-4989-b8c6-e5737d21cc91 https://www.ibiology.org/online-biology-courses/microscopy-series/fluorescence-microscopy/ http://www.olympusconfocal.com/theory/fluorophoresintro.html
	 Sato et al., <i>Sci Rep</i> 3, 2436 (2013). Uchino et al., J Cell Bio., 2022 Morisaki et al., <i>Science</i> 17, Jun 2016:Vol. 352, Issue 6292, pp. 1425-1429 Zhao et al., Nat. Commun., 10, 2019. Aguilera et al., PLOS Comp. Bio., 2019. Cialek et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev., vol 61, April 2020, Pages 75-82 Park et al. <i>Nature Photon</i> 12, 578–589 (2018). 	38