

Stochastic Spatial Dynamics Enable Robust Cell Polarization

Michael J. Lawson^{1,2}, Brian Drawert³, Mustafa Khammash⁴, Linda Petzold³, Tau-Mu Yi⁵

Polarization is an essential behavior of living cells, yet the dynamics of this symmetry-breaking process are not fully understood. We examine, via experiments and modeling, the pheromone-induced formation of the yeast polarisome and we elucidate the intricate role of spatial stochastic effects in its formation. Comparing the results of equivalent deterministic and stochastic models, we find that the stochastic model can more robustly reproduce a highly polarized phenotype and the ability to track a moving pheromone input. In addition, only the stochastic model can reproduce both these characteristics of the wild-type phenotype, and the multi-polarisome phenotype of the *Spa2-delta* mutant.

Keywords — spatial stochastic, polarisome, cell polarization, yeast mating, signal tracking.

We have constructed a spatial stochastic model of polarisome formation in mating yeast, focusing on the tight localization of proteins on the membrane. To our knowledge this is the first such model. This new model is built on simple mechanistic components with parameters fit to wild-type data. It is able to achieve a highly polarized phenotype with a relatively shallow input gradient. Preliminary results highlight the need for spatial stochastic modeling and simulation to reproduce experimental observations.

One of the best-studied examples of cell polarization is the growth of the mating projection during yeast mating. Yeast cells localize specific proteins to the front of the cell in response to a spatial gradient of mating pheromone secreted by a partner [1]. The spatial sensing and response exhibit remarkable sensitivity, dynamic range, and robustness. A single molecular entity located at the front of the cell, termed the polarisome, helps to organize structural, transport, and signaling proteins [2]. The function of the polarisome is well-conserved in eukaryotes, and analogous scaffold complexes may be responsible for such diverse structures as focal adhesions and synapses [3].

Prior work has produced deterministic (PDE) mathematical models that described the spatial dynamics of yeast cell polarization in response to spatial gradients of mating pheromone [4], as well as addressing the trade-off between amplification and tracking [5]. Noise plays an increasingly acknowledged role in intra- and intercellular signal transduction, protein interaction networks, and gene

regulation [6], and as such, increased focus has been placed on developing stochastic models of biological systems. Recently, models of self-recruitment [7] and actin nucleation and directed transport [8] have highlighted the important role of spatial stochastics in initializing and maintaining polarization in the absence of an external cue.

In this work, we present a model that combines gradient-sensing, directed transport and self-recruitment. We focus on three molecular species: Bni1 (a formin that nucleates actin [9]), Spa2 (a scaffold protein), and actin. The mechanisms and rate constants in this model are based on evidence from the literature [2,9-10] and experiments.

Stochastic simulation of our model more robustly reproduced the balance between sharp polarization and the dynamic tracking and searching behavior seen in experiments than deterministic simulation. In addition, only stochastic simulation was able to reproduce the *Spa2-delta* mutant phenotype. The prediction of data that the model was not fit to also serves to validate the accuracy of the model. We show that spatial stochastic models are necessary to reproduce these biological phenomena with mechanisms that are simple and biologically plausible.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. F. Jr. Sprague and J. W. Thorner. Pheromone response and signal transduction during the mating process of *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae*. In *The Molecular and Cellular Biology of the Yeast Saccharomyces: Gene Expression*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1992.
- [2] D. Pruyne and A. Bretscher. Polarization of cell growth in yeast: I. establishment and maintenance of polarity states. *J. Cell Sci.*, 2000.
- [3] B. Alberts, D. Bray, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts, and J. Watson. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. Garland Publishing, New York, 1994.
- [4] T.-M. Yi, S. Chen, C.-S. Chou, and Q. Nie. Modeling yeast cell polarization induced by pheromone gradients. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 2007.
- [5] C.-S. Chou, Q. Nie, T.-M. Yi, Modeling Robustness Tradeoffs in Yeast Cell Polarization Induced by Spatial Gradients. *PLoS ONE*, 2008.
- [6] A. Arkin, J. Ross, and H. McAdams. Stochastic kinetic analysis of developmental pathway bifurcation in phage lambda-infected *Escherichia coli* cells. *Genetics*, 1998.
- [7] S. J. Altschuler, S. B. Angenent, Y. Wang and L. F. Wu. On the spontaneous emergence of cell polarity. *Nature*, 2008.
- [8] E. Marco, R. Wedlich-Soldner, R. Li, S. J. Altschuler and L. F. Wu. Endocytosis optimizes the dynamic localization of membrane proteins that regulate cortical polarity. *Cell*, 2007.
- [9] M. Evangelista, K. Blundell, M. S. Longtine, C. J. Chow, N. Adams, J. R. Pringle, M. Peter and C. Boone. Bni1p, a yeast formin linking Cdc42p and the actin cytoskeleton during polarization and morphogenesis. *Science*, 1997.
- [10] S. M. Buttery, S. Yoshida and D. Pellman. Yeast formins Bni1 and Bnr1 utilize different modes of cortical interactions during the assembly of actin cables. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, 2007.

This work was funded by NSF IGERT DGE02-21715, NSF-ITR CCF-0326576, NSF ECCS-0835847, DOE DE-FG02-04ER25621 and NIH NIBIB R01EB007511.

¹Dept. of BioMolecular Science & Engineering, University of California – Santa Barbara.

²Corresponding Author E-mail: mlawson@lifesci.ucsb.edu

³Dept. of Computer Science, University of California – Santa Barbara.

⁴ETH-Zurich, Basel, Switzerland

⁵Dept. of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of California – Santa Barbara.